Report #2: FY 2005 IHCIF - \$11,093,710 Allocatedby the Same 2 Tier Formula Adopted in 2003

Tier 1: \$5,546,855 for units scoring < 40%; Tier 2: %5,546,855 for units scoring 40% - 60%. Tier 3: 0\$ for units scoring > 60%									
AREA	OPERATING UNIT	FY 04 Users	FY 2004 LNF % Score	FY 2005 TIER 1 <40%	FY 2005 TIER 2 40%-60%	FY 2005 TIER 3 60%-100%	FY 2005 Allocation	Allocation Per User	REVISED LNF SCORE
Portland	Burns Paiute		100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Portland	Chehalis	1,005	45%	\$0	\$8,920	\$0	\$8,920	\$9	46%
Portland	Coeur d'Alene	4,116	50%	\$0	\$21,552	\$0	\$21,552	\$5	50%
Portland	Colville	8,626	53%	\$0	\$27,653	\$0	\$27,653	\$3	53%
Portland	Coos, L Umpqua, Suislaw	712	79%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	79%
Portland	Coquille	873	76%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	76%
Portland	Cow Creek	1,956	39%	\$19,806	\$21,404	\$0	\$41,210	\$21	40%
Portland	Cowlitz	687	66%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	66%
Portland	Grand Ronde	2,716	79%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	79%
Portland	Hoh	61	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Portland	Jamestown S'Klallam	456	63%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	63%
Portland	Kalispel	324	56%	\$0	\$811	\$0	\$811	\$3	56%
Portland	Klamath	2,815	58%	\$0	\$2,421	\$0	\$2,421	\$1	58%
Portland	Kootenai	206	87%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	87%
Portland	Lower Elwha	822	58%	\$0	\$1,002	\$0	\$1,002	\$1	58%
Portland	Lummi	4,518	59%	\$0	\$2,601	\$0	\$2,601	\$1	59%
Portland	Makah	1,961	51%	\$0	\$9,907	\$0	\$9,907	\$5	51%
Portland	Muckleshoot	3,272	40%	\$18,115	\$34,931	\$0	\$53,046	\$16	40%
Portland	Nez Perce	3,779	69%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	69%
Portland	Nisqually	1,170	49%	\$0	\$7,755	\$0	\$7,755	\$7	49%
Portland	Nooksack	976	50%	\$0	\$5,770	\$0	\$5,770	\$6	50%
Portland	Nw Band Of Shoshoni	50	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Portland	Port Gamble	1,376	45%	\$0	\$11,769	\$0	\$11,769	\$9	46%
Portland	Puyallup	8,227	59%	\$0	\$3,720	\$0	\$3,720	\$0	59%
Portland	Quileute	610	56%	\$0	\$1,551	\$0	\$1,551	\$3	56%
Portland	Quinault	2,592	70%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	70%
Portland	Samish	386	68%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	68%
Portland	Sauk-Suiattle	164	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Portland	Shoalwater Bay	432	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Portland	Shoshone-Bannock	5,938	63%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	63%
Portland	Siletz	5,221	50%	\$0	\$24,153	\$0	\$24,153	\$5	51%
Portland	Skokomish	892	58%	\$0	\$897	\$0	\$897	\$1	58%
Portland	Snoqualmie	169	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Portland	Spokane	1,793	72%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	72%
Portland	Squaxin Island	612	94%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	94%

Report #2: FY 2005 IHCIF - \$11,093,710 Allocatedby the Same 2 Tier Formula Adopted in 2003

Tier 1: \$5,546,85	55 for units scoring < 40%; Tier 2: %	5,546,855 for units	scoring 40% - 60%	6. Tier 3: 0\$ for units s	scoring > 60%				
AREA	OPERATING UNIT	FY 04 Users	FY 2004 LNF % Score	FY 2005 TIER 1 <40%	FY 2005 TIER 2 40%-60%	FY 2005 TIER 3 60%-100%	FY 2005 Allocation	Allocation Per User	REVISED LNF SCORE
Portland	Stillaguamish	111	100% or more	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	100% or m
Portland	Suquamish	470	98%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	98%
Portland	Swinomish	1,015	63%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	63%
Portland	Tulalip	4,023	43%	\$0	\$34,881	\$0	\$34,881	\$9	44%
Portland	Umatilla	2,798	74%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	74%
Portland	Upper Skagit	527	46%	\$0	\$4,278	\$0	\$4,278	\$8	46%
Portland	Warm Springs	5,581	82%	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	82%
Portland	Western Oregon (Chemawa)	2,838	49%	\$0	\$16,768	\$0	\$16,768	\$6	49%
Portland	Yakama	12,243	56%	\$0	\$20,786	\$0	\$20,786	\$2	56%
Portland Total		99,361		\$37,921	\$263,528	\$0	\$301,449		